Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/022,554	MACIAG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jon Eric Angell	1635
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Jon Eric Angell.	(3)	
(2) <u>Tom Sossong</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 March 2005	Time: <u>pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  NA		
Claims discussed: 13-16		
Prior art documents discussed:  NA		
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
XI/L		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants representative was contacted regarding claims 13-16 which read on a recombinant cell. Applicants were asked to consider amending the instant claims to "an isolated recombinant cell" in order to more precisely claim the instant invention. Applicants representative (Tom Sossong) indicated that the Applicants agreed to the suggestion and also indicated that Applicants would like to make the amendment to the specification. The Applicants approved the entry of the amendments by Examiner's amendment.

